Absorption of electromagnetic radiation and non-equilibrium superconductivity

Teun Klapwijk* Kavli Institute of Nanoscience Delft University of Technology <u>t.m.klapwijk@tudelft.nl</u>

Photons 'heat' electrons and/or break pairs

- Superconducting tunnel-junctions (STJ's)
- Normal metal absorber and a TES as thermometer
- Microwave kinetic inductance detectors

Observable S21

$$NEP^{2}(\omega) = S_{x} \cdot \left[\frac{\eta\tau}{\Delta} \cdot \frac{\delta x}{\delta N_{qp}}\right]^{-2} (1 + \omega^{2}\tau^{2})(1 + \omega^{2}\tau_{res}^{2})$$

$$= \omega \text{ is the frequency of the output spectrum}$$

$$= x \text{ is } \Theta \text{ (for phase read-out) and } R \text{ (for phase read-out)}$$

$$= N_{qp} \text{ is the density of quasiparticles}$$

$$= S_{p} \text{ is the phase noise}$$

$$= \tau \text{ is the quasiparticle lifetime}$$

$$= \tau_{res} \text{ is the quasiparticle lifetime}$$

$$= \tau_{res} \text{ is the resonator ringtime } (Q/\pi F_{0})$$

$$= \eta \text{ is the efficiency of quasiparticle creation}$$

$$= \Delta \text{ is the superconducting gap}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}$$

δθ

R

Re

....

٤

100 µm

Coupler

Wednesday, July 10, 13

CPW Through line

Two frequencies: GHz and THz

- Optical photons (to be detected): create quasiparticles (break pairs)
- Microwave signal (used for read-out): determine non-equilibrium superconducting state

Non-equilibrium superconductivity

- Excess quasi-particles (δN_{qp})
- f(E) electron-distribution
- $n(\Omega)$ phonon-distribution





Control parameter: f(E)



All BCS expressions in which the temperature appears is through f(E). No change in: V_{BCS}

Even and odd f(E)

- Transversal and longitudinal nonequilibrium
- Charge and energy-mode nonequilibrium
- Asymmetric and symmetric nonequilibrium

$$q_k = \frac{\xi_k}{E_k} = \frac{[E_k^2 - \Delta^2_k]^{1/2}}{E_k}$$
$$Q^* = \sum_k q_k \delta f_k$$

cf. NS current conversion



Microwave absorption + relaxation



$$\begin{split} n_1(\varepsilon) &= \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \frac{\hbar\omega}{4kT_c} \bigg[\frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon - \hbar\omega) + \Delta^2}{\varepsilon[(\varepsilon - \hbar\omega)^2 - \Delta^2]^{1/2}} \theta(\varepsilon - \Delta - \hbar\omega) \\ &- \frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon + \hbar\omega) + \Delta^2}{\varepsilon[(\varepsilon + \hbar\omega)^2 - \Delta^2]^{1/2}} \theta(\varepsilon - \Delta) \\ &- \frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon - \hbar\omega) + \Delta^2}{\varepsilon[(\varepsilon - \hbar\omega)^2 - \Delta^2]^{1/2}} \theta(\varepsilon - \Delta) \theta(\hbar\omega - \Delta - \varepsilon) \bigg] \end{split}$$

 $\gamma = \frac{\hbar}{\tau_{\epsilon}} \qquad \alpha = \frac{De^2}{\hbar c^2} A_{\omega}^2$



Energy-dependent relaxation processes

- Kaplan et al (1976)
- Chang-Scalapino (1978)
- Enhanced recombination rates at higher energies



Aluminium

- Weak electron-phonon interaction
- Therefore high conductivity at room temperature
- Low T_c
- Slow energy-relaxation times; slow recombination times

NEP limited by background quasiparticle density



De Visser et al, arxiv 1306.4238

Full numerical analysis of Eliashberg and Chang-Scalapino



Goldie and Withington, SUST **26**, 015004(2013)

Direct measurements: horseshoeshape tunnel-junctions



Wolter and Horstman, Phys. Lett. **82A**, 43 (1981) and **86A**, 185 (1981)

Observables: complex impedance

 $\sigma(\omega) = \sigma_1(\omega) - i\sigma_2(\omega)$ $\vec{J}(\vec{r}) = \sigma(\omega)\vec{E}(\vec{r})$ $\frac{\sigma_1(\omega)}{\sigma_n} = \frac{2}{\hbar\omega} \int_{\Delta}^{\infty} dE \, \frac{E^2 + \Delta^2 + \hbar\omega E}{\sqrt{E^2 - \Delta^2}\sqrt{(E + \hbar\omega)^2 - \Delta^2}} \left[f(E) - f(E + \hbar\omega) \right]$ $\frac{\sigma_2(\omega)}{\sigma_n} = \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} \int_{\Delta}^{\Delta+\hbar\omega} dE \, \frac{E^2 + \Delta^2 - \hbar\omega E}{\sqrt{E^2 - \Delta^2}\sqrt{\Delta^2 - (E - \hbar\omega)^2}} \left[1 - 2f(E)\right]$ $\Delta = \Delta(f(E))$

Microwave-impedance analysis for Al





Counterintuitive results: De Visser, Goldie, Diener, Withington, Baselmans, Klapwijk, arXiv 1306.4992 and LTD-15

Response of different observables



Any implication for TiN?

$$\begin{split} \vec{J}(\vec{r}) &= \sigma(\omega)\vec{E}(\vec{r}) \qquad \sigma(\omega) = \sigma_1(\omega) - i\sigma_2(\omega) \\ \frac{\sigma_1(\omega)}{\sigma_n} &= \frac{2}{\hbar\omega} \int_{\Delta}^{\infty} dE \frac{E^2 + \Delta^2 + \hbar\omega E}{\sqrt{E^2 - \Delta^2}\sqrt{(E + \hbar\omega)^2 - \Delta^2}} f(E) - f(E + \hbar\omega)] \\ \frac{\sigma_2(\omega)}{\sigma_n} &= \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} \int_{\Delta}^{\Delta + \hbar\omega} dE \frac{E^2 + \Delta^2 - \hbar\omega E}{\sqrt{E^2 - \Delta^2}\sqrt{\Delta^2 - (E - \hbar\omega)^2}} [1 - 2f(E)] \\ \Delta &= \Delta(\vec{r}) \end{split}$$

Singularities: rounded density-of-states

Temperature dependence of f₀ Driessen et al, PRL **109**, 107003 (2012)

Film	Sub-	d	b	ρ	$k_{\rm F}l$	l	τ	$T_{\rm c}$	α		
ID	strate	[nm]	[nm]	$[\mu\Omega cm]$		[Å]	[fs]	[K]	$[k_{\rm B}T_{ m c}']$		
TiN ALD-deposited films											
Α	SiO_2	6	25	380	3.3	3.4		1.5	0.22		
в	SiO_2	11	27	356	3.5	3.5	1.2	2.2	0.17		
\mathbf{C}	SiO_2	22	32	253	4.6	4.4		2.7	0.13		
D	SiO_2	45	37	187	6.1	5.7	1.2	3.2	0.10		
\mathbf{E}	SiO_2	89	42	120	8.6	7.3	1.7	3.6	0.01		
F	H-Si	55	44	212	6.0	6.4	1.4	3.3	0.08		
NbTiN sputter-deposited films											
G	Sapphire	300	85	150	8.2	6.3		14.8	0.15		
Η	H-Si	50	30	506	2.4	2.4		11.9	0.34		

ΓА	BLE	I.	Parameters	\mathbf{of}	$^{\rm the}$	films	studied	$_{in}$	$_{\rm this}$	experiment	
----	-----	----	------------	---------------	--------------	-------	---------	---------	---------------	------------	--

$$iE\sin\theta + \Delta\cos\theta - \alpha\sin\theta\cos\theta = 0.$$

$$\begin{split} \hbar \omega \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_{\rm n}} &= \int_{-\hbar\omega}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}E \ g_2(E,E') \left[1-2f(E')\right] \\ &+ \int_0^{\infty} \mathrm{d}E \ g_2(E',E) \left[1-2f(E)\right] \\ \Delta &= N_0 V \int_0^{k_{\rm B}\Theta_{\rm D}} \mathrm{d}E \ \mathrm{Im}(\sin\theta) [1-2f(E)] \end{split}$$



Local tunneling spectra much sharper



Current-carrying density-of-states

1.0

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

$$\frac{\hbar D}{2}\nabla^2\theta + \left[iE - \frac{\hbar}{2D}\vec{\mathbf{v}}_s^2\cos\theta\right]\sin\theta + \Delta\cos\theta = 0$$

$$\vec{j}(\vec{r}) = \frac{\sigma}{eD} \int_0^\infty dE \tanh\left(\frac{\beta E}{2}\right) \operatorname{Im}(\sin^2\theta) \vec{\mathbf{v}}_s$$
$$\Delta(\vec{r}) = N(0) V_{\text{eff}} \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} dE \tanh\left(\frac{\beta E}{2}\right) \operatorname{Im}(\sin\theta)$$



Anthore et al, PRL 2003



Anomalous Response to NIR Photon



- At lower T, dissipation response shows shorter decay time than frequency response.
- At higher T, responses in the two quadratures show equal decay time.

J. Gao, et al, APL 101, 142602 (2012)

Non-equilibrium in an inhomogeneous system?



Fig. 3. A periodic structure of a conserved quantity with large wavelength may decay by coarsening [30].

G.Schön, Physica **109&110B**, 1677 (1982) U.Eckern, A.Schmid, M.Schmutz, and G.Schön, J. Low Temp. Phys. **36**, 643 (1979)

Summary

- Detailed understanding based on f(E) is possible for Al
- Nonequilibrium analysis for AI very mature and usable.
- (The hybrids, which use NbTiN with Al equally successful)
- Robustness for TiN vis à vis such an analysis remains to be seen (See also Juan Bueno's poster).