

Characterizing & Quantifying Stellar Variability Using Deep Learning

Objectives

1. Characterizing stellar noise components in terms of their pixel-by-pixel effects on the spectrum, using DL.

2. Quantifying the contribution of each stellar RV noise component to the RV error in each spectrum down to, or below, instrumental noise levels, using DL.

3. Determining the data requirements of neural networks in terms of constraints on SNR, resolution, cadence, and number of spectra to effectively train a neural network to characterize and/or quantify each component of stellar RV jitter.

Background

- State-of-the art methods use cross-correlation function (CCF) or search for activity-sensitive lines [1],[2],[3],[4].
- CCF studies [1],[2] cannot account for differences in responses of individual to stellar activity.
- Activity-sensitive line searches focus on line depth changes [3],[4], rather than asymmetric line-shape changes which are more highly correlated with stellar RV jitter [2].
- Our method aims to globally characterize all such changes in the spectrum, by use of a large quantity of high quality input data (34450 HARPS-N spectra over 3 years) and by harnessing the power of DL methods to probe the effects of stellar activity on the spectrum at unprecedented detail.

Virisha Timmaraju¹, Alex Wise², Ian Colwell¹, Sam Halverson¹

¹Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, ²Penn State University



References

[1] Xu, Xin et al. 2019, The Astronomical Journal 157.6: 243. doi:10.3847/1538-3881/ab1b47 [2] de Beurs, Z. L., Vanderburg, A., Shallue, C. J., et al. 2020, arXiv:2011.00003 [3] Lanza, A. F., Malavolta, L., Benatti, S., et al. 2018, Astronomy & Astrophysics, 616, A155. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201731010 [4] Wise, A., Dodson-Robinson, S. E., Bevenour, K., et al. 2018, The Astronomical Journal, 156, 180. doi:10.3847/1538-3881/aadd94 [5] Ning, B., Wise, A., Cisewski-Kehe, J., et al. 2019, The Astronomical Journal, 158, 210. doi:10.3847/1538-3881/ab441c

Approach

Datasets and Preprocessing (Figure 2-B.1): Training data is 3 years of HARPS-N team. Alpha-shape Fitting to Spectrum (AFS) algorithm [2] implementation in the

Ancillary Datasets (Figure 2-B.1): (1) Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI) onboard the Solar Surface. These data were reduced using the SolAster Package to quantify solar activity conditions. (2) Observing conditions for each observation were provided by the HARPS-N team. In tests of our neural network, we use data such as the "sun-as-a-start" RV model, the convective and photometric velocity components, and more as targets op Lines for Injected RVs and "Sun-as-a-Star" RV Variation

Learning (Figure 1-C) Individual spectral lines are subset from HARPS-N using a line mask (G2.Espresso). CNNs are trained using a single spectral line as input $(15 \times -35K)$ and the time aligned ancillary (photometric velocity, convective, etc.) value as the target This approach results in ~5k x N trained CNNs (~5k spectral lines; N=23 SolAster features). We first demonstrated this line-by-line CNN concept by targeting injected RVs, an output of the preprocessing pipeline; this highlights lines that are insensitive to stellar variability (low RMSE) and lines that are sensitive to stellar variability (high RMSE). The CNNs' targets are changed to "sunas-a-star" RV components, providing a more direct way to understand what lines are more, or less, sensitive to a given type of stellar activity (Figure 3). Larger CNNs are trained on different subsets of multiline inputs to help understand how the removal of lines sensitive to stellar activity affect our ability to identify planetary RVs (Figure 4).





Figure 2: Each spectral line from HARPS-N spectra was input to a CNN targeting an injected planetary RV (red) and separately the "sun-as-a-star" RV variation (purple). The top 100 top performing lines for each trial are highlighted.

Performance of CNNs Trained on Different Line Subsets

Γ				
.8 -			······	
7 -			•	
		•		•
.6 -		•		
.5 -	·····			
.4 -				
	Top 100 Lines (Performance of LBL CNNs)	Top 100 Lines (5% of the Top Stellar Lines Removed)	100 Random Lines (Sampled From the Top 500 Lines	Top 100 Deepest Lines

Figure-4: Performance results of the same CNN architecture trained on different subsets of lines (inputs) targeting injected planetary RVs. The removal of lines sensitive to stellar activity (second boxplot from the left) results in ~25% lines dropped from the top 100 lines (left most boxplot). This removal of lines appears to improve the average performance of the CNNs.



aw@psu.edu