# NIR searches for kilonovae with WINTER and Roman

Viraj Karambelkar, Caltech Exploring the Transient Universe with the Roman Space Telescope Conference



# Kilonovae are always bright in IR



Simcoe et al. 2019, data from Kasen et al. 2017



# Landscape of EMGW observations





ZTF

- PS1
- DECAM
- PGIR
- ATLAS
- SAGUARO .
- ASASSN
- GIT
- GOTO-4
- VISTA
- GRANDMA



# WINTER is coming!

### WINTER **Poster by Danielle Frostig**





1-meter telescope

1.19 x 1.2 sq. deg FOV

#### On-sky Spring 2022, J-band all-sky survey to 21 mag



#### Lourie et al. 2021

Precision Optics Bench

**Filter Tray** 

Bonded Lense



Novel InGaAs sensors

Compensator Lenses

Y, J, shortened H filters





old Mirro

# EMGW simulations with WINTER arxiv: 2110.01622 with D. Frostig, S. Biscoveannu, G. Mo et al.

# End-to-end simulation study



#### Simulate BNS mergers in the universe



1 year of simulated BNS trigger followup during O4







#### Optimal tiling with WINTER



#### Simulate expected electromagnetic emission



## Simulation results

| Rate      | GW triggers    | EM Accessible  | Localized     | Discovered     |  |  |   |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|--|--|---|
|           |                |                |               | Bulla          |  | Kasen  |   |
|           | Events         | Events         | Events        | $\Phi$ [°]     | Events   | $X_{ m lan}$   | Events  |
| Realistic | $16^{+6}_{-5}$ | $11^{+5}_{-5}$ | $5^{+3}_{-3}$ | 30<br>45<br>60 | $\begin{array}{c} 1^{+2}_{-1} \\ 1^{+2}_{-1} \\ 1^{+2}_{-1} \end{array}$ | $ \begin{array}{r} 10^{-2} \\ 10^{-3} \\ 10^{-4} \\ 10^{-5} \\ \end{array} $ | $\begin{array}{c}2^{+3}_{-2}\\3^{+2}_{-2}\\1^{+2}_{-1}\\0^{+1}_{-0}\end{array}$ |

# Even with a 1 sq. deg FOV, WINTER can efficiently tile localization areas and discover kilonovae!









Remnant promptly collapses to black hole

## Advantages of NIR BNS mergers are 2x longer lived in the NIR







## NSBH mergers are even redder!







## Takeaways from WINTER simulation

1 sq. deg NIR telescope can tile O4 localizations and discover kilonovae

NIR searches have ~2x longer to search for kilonovae than optical searches

 NIR searches are especially crucial to search for red, off-axis BNS mergers (1/4 of all BNS mergers) and all NSBH mergers.

# Looking forward

#### Improved GW detectors!



#### NSBH target sensitivities ~600 Mpc



# New wide-field NIR telescopes! DREAMS at Siding Springs Cryoscope at Palomar Roman!



Image credit : NASA

## Roman era





# Roman era will have 4 active GW detectors.

- \* Roman
- ★ WINTER+DREAMS
- Cryoscope
- ★ LSST
- ZTF
- PS1
- DECAM
- ATLAS
- SAGUARO
- ASASSN
- GIT
- GOTO-4
- VISTA
- GRANDMA

We expect several (tens) events localized to areas better than 10 sq. deg

Abbott et al. 2020b, Petrov et al. 2021



# Advantages of Roman

#### **BNS** mergers







# Summary

- NIR telescopes during LIGO 04.
- In LIGO 05+, NIR observations will be especially crucial to map out the phase space of distant BNS and NSBH mergers.
- In the Roman era, the GW localizations are predicted to be small enough that they can be tiled with a few Roman-pointings.
- Roman promises to be a pioneering telescope to increase our understanding of the fireworks accompanying gravitational waves !



#### WINTER simulation shows it is possible to discover kilonovae with ~1 sq. deg.



## Backup



## Skymap comparisons

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

## WINTER team

#### • WINTER @ Caltech

- Mansi Kasliwal (Co-I)
- Robert Stein (Postdoc)
- Viraj Karambelkar (PhD Student)
- Nicolae Ganciu (Observatory Staff)
- John W. Baker (Observatory Staff)
- Rick Burruss (Observatory Staff)
- Jeffry Zolkower (Observatory Staff)

#### • WINTER @ MIT

- Rob Simcoe (Co-I)
- Gabor Furesz (Principal Research Scientist)
- Nate Lourie (Project Scientist)
- Erik Hinrichsen (Mechanical Engineer)
- Drew Malonis (Electrical Engineer)
- Kishalay De (Postdoc)
- Kevin Burdge (Postdoc)
- Danielle Frostig (PhD Student)

![](_page_19_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_19.jpeg)

#### • <u>LIGO @ MIT</u>

- Salvo Vitale (Professor)
- Erik Katsavounidis (Senior Research Scientist)
- Hsin-Yu Chen (Postdoc)
- Sylvia Biscoveanu (PhD Student)
- Geoffrey Mo (PhD Student)

![](_page_19_Picture_26.jpeg)

# 2. LVK search + skymaps

- Events searched with PyCBC-Live
  - paper
  - TaylorF2 model template bank
- SNR > 9 : criterion for detection
- Low latency skymaps with Bayestar  $\sim O(10 \text{ seconds})$  $\bullet$
- Medium latency skymaps with bilby ~ O (few hours)

#### Four detectors with noise and sensitivities from latest observing scenarios

# 4. Lightcurves

- Many kilonova models exist in literature
- Need to know how much mass is ejected during the merger

Graphic credit : NASA

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Fitting formulae to NR simulations

$$\log_{10} M_{\rm ej}^{\rm dyn} = \left[ a \frac{(1 - 2C_1) \ m_1}{C_1} + b \ m_2 \left( \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right)^n + \frac{d}{2} \right]_{(4)} + [1 \leftrightarrow 2]$$

 $m_1, m_2$ 

$$v_{\rm ej} = \left[ a'' \frac{m_1}{m_2} (1 + c'' \ C_1) + \frac{b''}{2} \right] + [1 \leftrightarrow 2],$$

Anand et al. 2020, Coughlin et al. 2019, Dietrich et al. 2020  $\frac{22}{22}$ 

Graphic credit : GRANDMA collaboration

![](_page_21_Picture_13.jpeg)

Mej<sup>dyn</sup>, Mej<sup>wind</sup>, Vej

### 4. Lightcurves Models

Bulla et al. 2019

 Realistic geometry No control on composition

Kasen et al. 2017

- Spherically symmetric
- Detailed microphysics

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_8.jpeg)

### **Observing strategies** What exposure times to use?

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

**Table 1.** Number of BNS mergers occurring and detected in gravitational-waves for each rate considered in this manuscript during one calendar year of O4. The first column indicates the total number of mergers, while the second column gives the median and 90% symmetric credible interval on the number of systems detected in gravitational waves obtained by averaging over the 101 different realizations of BNS merger combinations. Also included are the number of unique pairs of events that are found in gravitational waves within one day and one week of each other.

| Rate        | Mergers | Found                 | 1 day         | 1 week           |
|-------------|---------|-----------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Pessimistic | 21      | $3^{+3}_{-2}$         | $0^{+0}_{-0}$ | $0^{+1}_{-0}$    |
| Realistic   | 105     | $16^{+6}_{-5}$        | $1^{+1}_{-1}$ | $6^{+7}_{-5}$    |
| Optimistic  | 210     | $33\substack{+7\\-7}$ | $4^{+4}_{-3}$ | $26^{+19}_{-11}$ |

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 2. Predicted power spectral densities during O4 of the four interferometers included in this study. These curves correspond to BNS ranges of 160-190 Mpc for the LIGO detectors, 90-120 Mpc for Virgo, and 80 Mpc for KAGRA (Abbott et al. 2020a).

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)