### Supershells: What drives them & what role do they play in galaxies?

Alak Ray <sup>1,2</sup>, Sayan Chakraborti <sup>2</sup>, Alex Hill <sup>3</sup>, Puragra Guhathakurta <sup>4</sup>,Rosanne DiStefano <sup>2</sup>, Nirupam Roy <sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India
<sup>2</sup> ITC, Center for Astrophysics, Harvard Univ, Cambridge, MA
<sup>3</sup> Haverford College, Haverford, PA
<sup>4</sup> UC Santa Cruz, CA
<sup>5</sup> IIT Kharagpur, India

TMT Science Forum Meeting, "Star Formation, MilkyWay & Nearby Galaxies" Washington, DC, 24 June 2015

#### What drives supershells in the ISM? OB associations & Young Stellar Clusters: nurseries of Supernovae

- \* Aim: investigate role of stellar feedback in determining energetics & evolution of supershells in nearby spiral galaxies
- \* OB Assoc. contain even thousands of 0 & B stars (massive stars) w. short lives ~ 10<sup>6</sup> yr. Associations live a few x 10<sup>6</sup> yr, since stars exhaust
- SNe from these stars pressurize and churn ISM
- Supershells form & evolve due to continuous mech. energy injection of SNe & stellar winds
- \* Outer shocks of superbubbles sweep up ambient ISM into a thin cool shell  $\Rightarrow$  "Supershell"
- \* An Expanding HI supershell in M101: VLA data



#### DOES STELLAR FEEDBACK CREATE H1 HOLES?

1543

Puche et al 1992: combined HI imaging with Halpha

Rhode et al 1999: combined HI imaging with B, V, R data



Weisz et al 2009 ApJ Holmberg II



### Evidence for expansion necessary

- Cavities of Neutral Hydrogen found in several nearby galaxies (Bagetakos et al 2011, Tenorio-Tagle & Bodenheimer 1988), including M101 (Kamphuis et al 1991).
- Cavity alone is insufficient evidence for paradigm: SN driven Supershell. Cavity (HI surface density) may simply indicate a low density region created by turbulent gas
- If supershells are SNe driven, evidence of young clusters or recent star formation in cavities: Pitfalls of listing of cavities as supershells: Puche etal (1992) in Holmberg II vs. Rhode etal (1999). Latter did not find young clusters.
- Showing expansion velocities essential for HI cavities supershells. Measured vel. should be sufficiently large compared to RMS vel of ISM.

# Testing the paradigm of supershell formation



#### Nature

#### Astronomer

### HI Supershell in M101 in VLA THINGS data

- \* THINGS = The HI Nearby Galaxy Survey undertaken by NRAO Very Large Array to study 21cm emission in nearby galaxies
- \* High angular resolution (≈7") maps and high spectral resolution (5 km/s).
- Pata in Image cubes (RA, DEC, recssion velocity) after background continuum subtraction. For each triad, cube gives the HI line flux density.
- \* a) Supershell radii > 24 pc ( $\Theta_{10^{"}} P_{1 Mpc}$ ) to have it larger than the projected beamsize. b) Two velocity components can be resolved only if V > spectral resolution. c) Limited by sensitivity in the beam: =  $10^{20}$  atoms/cm<sup>2</sup>.

### A newly found HI cavity in M101



### Is the gas in the hole expanding?



## McCray & Kafatos (1987)

- Pressure driven phase of the supershell terminates when Rs becomes comparable to HI scale height
- \* Thereafter, if V<sub>shell</sub> (@ one scale height) > V<sub>RMS</sub> (HI), then parts of the shell going perpendicular to disk, accelerate into the halo & fragment & discharge the int. pressure into the Corona.

\* Portion moving along the disk enters the pressureless snowplough phase & evolves slowly (R<sub>s</sub> ∝ t<sup>1/4</sup>). → Radii of largest observed HI holes are slightly larger than the HI scale height.

McCray & Kafatos (1987): expanding HI supershell driven by multiple SNe

SNe rate in a cluster of typical IMF varied only slightly over the first 50 Myr

Continuous energy injection powering the Supershell over this timescale.

Radius and velocity of the expanding supershell are:

 $R_S = 97 \text{ pc} (N_* E_{51}/n_0)^{1/5} t_7^{3/5}$ 

 $V_S = 5.7 \text{ km s}^{-1} (N_* E_{51}/n_0)^{1/5} t_7^{-2/5}$ 

Model is invertible: Rs & Vs are observable from HI data:

 $t_7 = (R_S/97 \text{ pc})(V_S/5.7 \text{ km s}^{-1})^{-1}$ 

 $(N_*E_{51}/n_0) = (R_S/97 \text{ pc})^2 (V_S/5.7 \text{ km s}^{-1})^3$ 

### Evolution of M101 supershell

- \* With the McCray & Kafatos model, our measurement of the Radius and Velocity of the supershell gives:
- \*  $t = 15 Myr \mathcal{E}(N * E_{51}/n_0) \approx 1100$
- Assuming E<sub>51</sub> ≈ 1 & n<sub>0</sub> ≈ 0.5, the driving cluster should be massive enough sto harbor N\* ≈ 550 supernova yielding massive stars
- \* McKee & Williams (1997): total mass of stars required to produce each CC SN= 196 M<sub>SUN</sub>. Thus our cluster is of initial mass 10<sup>5</sup> M<sub>SUN</sub> driving the Supershell for last = 15 Myr. Only < 15% of the energy from already exploded SNe by t= 15 Myr needs to be in the kinetic energy of the Supershell

### GALEX Nearby Galaxies Survey

- V emission: a tool to identify young stellar associations --may host core collapse SNe supplying energy for expanding supershells
- \* NUV & FUV cts/s for each (RA, DEC) pair
- \* Use info @ both HI distrib & young stellar populations to search for neutral hydrogen shells powered by SNe.
- \* Association hosting SN 1970G (found in UV) is located on high column density HI ring in M101
- \* We have found a cavity in HI surface density hosting a young stellar pop w. NUV mAB = 17.37 surrounded almost completely by higher HI density



#### Spectral Energy Distribution of a 10<sup>6</sup> M<sub>Sun</sub> association with Salpeter IMF and solar metallicity at 15, 50, & 200 Myr using Starburst99 code (Leitherer et al 1999)



Chakraborti & Ray 2011 ApJ 728, 24

### UV diagnostics for cluster mass

- \* Our measured NUV emission of cluster inside the HI cavity: mAB = 17.37 +- 0.08 in GALEX archival data
- ★ Galactic extinction: A<sub>V</sub> = 0.028 → A<sub>NUV</sub> = 0.083 (using Cardelli et al for a Milky way like total to selective absorption R<sub>V</sub> = 3.1)
- \* For d=6.85 Mpc, GALEX NUV measurement gives: 1.5 10<sup>37</sup> erg/s/A
- ★ Compare with S99, NUV flux → stellar assoc. had an original mass ~1.3 10<sup>5</sup> M<sub>Sun</sub>. Consistent w. minimum

mass derived from energy requirement derived from McCray Kafatos model

#### Diameters of HI Bubbles in M81



Chakraborti & Ray 2011

#### Many HI Holes in 20 Nearby Galaxies: Bagetakos et al 2011

#### Example: Position & size of Type-3 HI Bubbles in M81

Red circles (positions from Bagetakos et al 2011) marked on Palomar POSS image



S. Chakraborti et al 2015, in preparation

#### Type-3 HI holes marked on a HST/ ACS field in M81



#### Color-Magnitude digram for 2 regions of M101 Supershell with superposed isochrones





Sayan Chakraborti & Ben Shapee (work in progress): no clear evidence about sequential star formation in massive star cluster near the edge of the M101 supershell; have to await EW measures of Halpha in two clusters

### Outlook with TMT

- Research in with "Resolved stellar populations as tracers of galaxy evolution": Sec 7.8.4 of TMT Detailed Science Case 2015 (W. Skidmore & ISDT)
- With HST resol can study stellar populations in galaxies out to few Mpc (e.g. Holmberg II a Dw Irr galaxy at d=3.4 Mpc, Weisz et al 2009) by sampling resolved stellar populations and decomposing the colour-magnitude diagram to constituent stellar types and obtain star formation history (SFH)
- Large collecting power TMT & high ang resolution w. A0 (NFIRAOS res= 0.0055" @ 0.8 um, res= 0.017" @2.4 um <=> compare HST/ACS res = 0.05") can extend such studies to >10 Mpc, & for several hundreds of galaxies.
- \* But have to beat: stellar crowding. DSC 7.8.5 suggests that TMT will resolve individual stars in crowded regions with crowding lim in K-band: 19 mag arc sec<sup>-2</sup> in galaxies out to 15 Mpc with point source mag lim K<sub>lim</sub> = 28.5.
- Spectroscopic observations of Blue and Red Supergiants at OIR bands (0.5 -1.2 um) with WFOS, HROS & NIRES will be possible typically to 8-10 Mpc (Table 7-1 DSC 2015)

