Science In the Era of the 30m With the Brightest Lensed Galaxies In the Observable Universe

**Matthew Bayliss** 

Colby College and the MIT Kavli Institute

The Thirty Meter Telescope Science Forum - Kyoto, Japan - May 26, 2016

#### Collaborators

Michael Gladders (UChicago), Jane Rigby (NASA GSFC), Keren Sharon (Michigan), Traci Johnson (Michigan), <-- grad student Michael Florian (UChicago), <-- grad student Hakon Dahle (Univ. of Oslo) Kate Whitaker (UMASS-Amherst) Rangoon Bordoloi (MIT) Eva Wuyts (MPE & the non-profit world)

## Why Strong Lensing?

## Why Strong Lensing?

Because it lets us cheat and preview 30m era science now



#### z ~ 1-2 Galaxies As Seen By HST



S. Wuyts et al. 2013, CANDELS + 3D-HST

## Why Strong Lensing?



## Why Strong Lensing?

#### RCSGA 0327-1326



#### RCSGA 0327 at z = 1.7 Galaxies As Seen By HST + Strong Lensing



#### RCSGA 0327 at z = 1.7 Galaxies As Seen By HST + Strong Lensing



F390W-F814W-F160W

RCS0327

z=1.70















#### Sloan Giant Arcs Survey (SGAS) ~200 strong lensed galaxies

















The Sloan Bright Arcs Survey ~30 Lensed Galaxies; Allam et al. 2008; Kubo et al. 2008,2009; Lin et al. 2009



## The CASSOWARY Strong Lens Sample ~80 Lensed Galaxies; Belokurov et al. 2007, Stark et al. 2013



Sloan Giant Arcs Survey (SGAS)

~200 strong lensed galaxies; ~50 brighter than g~21

Bayliss et al. 2011a,b; Gladders et al. (in prep)





Galaxy at z=1.703, lensed by a foreground cluster (E. Wuyts et al. 2010).

Bright contiguous arc is 19th magnitude in g and r and 38" long







Galaxy at z=2.39, lensed by a foreground cluster (Dahle et al. 2016).

Bright contiguous arc is 17th magnitude in r and ~35" long.



#### RCSGA 0327-1326



Sharon et al. (2012)

#### RCSGA 0327-1326



Sharon et al. (2012)







![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

## In *each knot*, can constraint each of:

- metallicity
- ionization parameter
- electron temperature/density
- abundance ratios (C/O, Si/O, N/O
- Massive Stars and Wolf-Rayet winds
- Galaxy-scale outflows

## P Cygni Profiles Within Different Star Forming Regions/Lines of Sight

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

Galaxy at z = 3.625, can resolve individual star forming regions on scales of ~200 pc. A 2-hr optical spectrum of this arc reveals >20 lines that constraint each of:

- metallicity
- ionization parameter
- electron temperature
- electron density
- abundance ratios (C/O, Si/O, N/O
- Massive Stars and Wolf-Rayet winds
- Galaxy-scale outflows

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

Galaxy at z = 3.625, can resolve individual star forming regions on scales of ~200 pc. A 2-hr optical spectrum of this arc reveals >20 lines that constraint each of:

- metallicity
- ionization parameter
- electron temperature
- electron density
- abundance ratios (C/O, Si/O, N/O
- Massive Stars and Wolf-Rayet winds
- Galaxy-scale outflows

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

Take, for example, C IV & He II lines from two separate star-forming regions. Galaxy at z = 3.625, can resolve individual star forming regions on scales of ~200 pc. A 2-hr optical spectrum of this arc reveals >20 lines that constraint each of:

- metallicity
- ionization parameter
- electron temperature
- electron density
- abundance ratios (C/O, Si/O, N/O
- Massive Stars and Wolf-Rayet winds
- Galaxy-scale outflows

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

Take, for example, C IV & He II lines from two separate star-forming regions. Galaxy at z = 3.625, can resolve individual star forming regions on scales of ~200 pc. A 2-hr optical spectrum of this arc reveals >20 lines that constraint each of:

- metallicity
- ionization parameter
- electron temperature
- electron density
- abundance ratios (C/O, Si/O, N/O

![](_page_41_Picture_9.jpeg)

Galaxy-scale outflows

## C IV & He II Line Profiles Within Different Star Forming Regions

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

# SGAS: Clumpy Star-formation On 10's of Parsec Scales

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

T. Johnson et al. (in prep)

# SGAS: Clumpy Star-formation On 10's of Parsec Scales

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

T. Johnson et al. (in prep)

+ + z=0 (SINGS) ↓ ↓ z=2.481, SGAS J1110+6459 ↓ ↓ z=1-1.5 (Livermore+12) ↓ ↓ z=1.47-2.23 (SHiZELS) ↓ ↓ z=1.28-3.40, lensed (Livermore+15 & Jones+10) ↓ ↓ z~1.3 (WiggleZ)

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### What Can We Do With 30m Telescopes?

Now moving from real science to projected science.

![](_page_47_Figure_0.jpeg)

At z~3, diffraction limit of a 30m telescope in the NIR corresponds to physical scales of ~100's of parsecs. Highly magnified lensed galaxies let you do >10x better (<10's of parsecs).

Spatially resolved spectroscopy on these scales is equivalent to resolving the internal structure of the largest Milky Way starforming regions such as W49 and Carina, or 30 Doradus in the LMC.

For comparison, the Orion nebula is ~8 pc across.

**Properties of local HII regions (Kennicutt 1984)** 

#### What Can We Do With 30m Telescopes?

Dream scenario: R ~ 5000+ optical IFU with AO-assisted angular resolution.

This would allow us to obtain rest-UV through rest-optical spectra of individual star forming clumps down to ~10 pc scales (i.e., we could "cheat" and do 300m telescope science with 30m telescopes).

#### What Can We Do With 30m Telescopes?

Dream scenario: R ~ 5000+ optical IFU with AO-assisted angular resolution.

This would allow us to obtain rest-UV through rest-optical spectra of individual star forming clumps down to ~10 pc scales (i.e., we could "cheat" and do 300m telescope science with 30m telescopes).

Worst-case Scenario: R ~ 5000+ natural seeing optical IFU.

Could obtain rest-UV through rest-optical spectra of individual star-forming clumps down to ~100 pc scales, including clumps ~10x fainter than can be achieved with current facilities.

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### End

e

Work to date with spectra of gravitationally lensed galaxies overcomes the limits of current telescopes, and previews 30m science, including:

- -detect faint diagnostics
- -obtain much higher signal-to-noise,
- resolve much smaller physical regions (down to 100pc).

#### Diagnostics and physical conditions:

C III] emission	Rigby, Bayliss et al. 2015, ApJL
C,N,O, Si abundance at z=3.6	Bayliss, Rigby et al. 2014, ApJ
Outflows from Mg II, Fe II	Bordoloi, Rigby et al. MNRAS 2016; Rigby, Bayliss et al. 2014
Outflows, kinematics of H $\alpha$	Wuyts, Rigby et al. 2014, ApJ
Physical conditions	Rigby, Wuyts et al. 2011; Bayliss, Rigby et al. 2014
Winds & Massive Stars	Bayliss, Rigby et al. 2015; Rigby et al. in prep