Characterization of Substellar objects in Star Clusters



Wen-Ping Chen & Poshih Chiang National Central University, Taiwan

W Brandner, M Liu, E Magnier, Y Oasa, T Tamura, ... + W Team Stars are formed in groups out of dense molecular cores; planets, maybe are formed in young circumstellar disks.



Evolution of Star Clusters

- (Initial) Molecular clouds are clumpy and filamentary; so are the youngest star clusters.
- (Internal) Mutual gravitational interaction among members virializes the cluster into a spherical shape, with more massive stars more concentrating toward the center (mass segregation). Lowest-mass members are vulnerable to ejection out from the system (stellar evaporation).
- (External) Eventually Galactic perturbations (tidal forces, differential rotation) distort and rip apart the star clusters
 - \rightarrow Disk population



Most OCs are elongated, even among the youngest ones with ages \sim a few Myr \rightarrow shaped in the cluster formation process

As an OC evolves, its core becomes circularized by stellar dynamics, whereas the overall size expands and stellar density becomes sparse. Stars in the tails are of lower masses than those in the core.



Praesepe (M44; 757 Myr, 180 pc)

Wang+14



MF peak ~0.3 M_{\odot} ; lowest-mass members being "evaporated"

Wang+14

What about brown dwarfs and Planetary-mass objects?



http://www.exoclimes.com/paper-outlines/exoplanets-and-brown-dwarfs-ii/



See the poster by Oasa-san

- Most known brown dwarfs and planet-mass objects are in the field, so have aged.
- To study their formation and early evolution \rightarrow need a young sample
- They are brighter when younger. But even the nearest SFRs are a far cry.
- Strategy:
 - CFHT imaging (methane or water on-off), cool atmospheres in CMDs to identify candidates
 - Gemini/VLT/Subaru spectroscopic confirmation



Burrows+01

Calibration with known field population

Calibration with known field population

Known field L and T dwarfs observed with CH4 imaging (filled)

Known field **M/L** and **T** dwarfs computed R1.6 from the Spex (open)

Field stars dwarfs (pluses), giants (crosses), supergiants (diamonds)

Finding L dwarfs in Rho Oph/L1688

Chiang+15

2 T dwarfs and 1 L dwarf confirmed 2M0437 (L0); 2M1207b (low-*g* exoplanet)

1100, 1200, 1300 K

L9, T1, HR8799e H=18.38 **900**, 1000, 1100 K T3, T4 H=19.16, 18.80 800, 900, 1050 K

Gemini-S/Flamingo2 1800-2000 s 6 candidates observed in 2014, 1 emGalaxy, 1 bg star, 2 cool stars but no methane

Chiang & Chen (2015)

The W Team CFHT/WIRCam (newly commissioned W filter) Beth Biller ... ROE (UK)

Deacon Niall ... Hertfordshire U (UK)

Loic Albert, Etienne Artigau, Rene Doyon … U Montreal (Canada) Gregory Herczeg, Jessy Jose … NAOC (China) Mickael Bonnefoy, Philippe Delorme … UJF (France) Mike Liu … IfA (UH, USA) Poshih Chiang, Wen-Ping Chen … NCU (Taiwan)

A W-band survey of nearby SRFs; started with Taurus

L1495 2012 (JHK) + 2015 (W) J (21), H/W (19.5), K (18.5) Candidates:

♦ ABS(Q) > 3 Qerr
♦ Q < -0.6
♦ K > 15 mag
→ 9 candidates

from bottom up, for a target at 10, 130 (Taurus/Oph), 320 (IC348), and 450 (Orion) pc.

SEDs (solid lines) of cool atmospheres, computed from models by Burrows et al. (2001). Dashed lines show the sensitivity of PanSTARRS (r', i', z', y'), CFHT (magAB~ 21 in J, H, and K), Spitzer/IRCA 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, and 8.0, and WISE 12 and 22 microns,

Conclusions

Now ... 4 m class to select (reliable) candidates; Rho Oph, Taurus (130 pc); IC 348 (320 pc) 8-10 m to secure the discovery Rho Oph, Taurus OK; no Ts beyond

Next ... 8-10 m for candidacy TMT spectroscopic confirmation AND <u>characterization</u>

→ Cool atmosphere physics and chemistry (cloud formation, isotopes ...)

→ Census of young BDs and PLAMOs vs YSOs spatial distribution, velocity dispersion, binary/disk/mass ...