ON-SKY GROUND-LAYER ADAPTIVE OPTICS RESULTS ON MAUNAKEA Mark Chun (for the `imaka team) Institute for Astronomy TMT Science Forum 2017

`imaka Team - J. Lu (UC Berkeley), O. Lai (OCA-France), D. Toomey (MKIR), M. Service (UH), F. Abdurrahman (UC-Berkeley), C. Baranec (UH), D. Foring (UH), Y Hayano (NAOJ), S. Oya (NAOJ)

freeatmosphere

ground layer



dome seeing



GLAO - BASIC CONCEPT

- Correct for just the "local" turbulence/aberrations including atmosphere, telescope, and enclosure
- Achieve free-atmosphere seeing over a large field of view
- GLAO is an A Ω/IQ^2 instrument



A BASELINE IMPLEMENTATION

Multiple LGS (4-6) around the FOV





Adaptive Secondary Mirror





- mWFS (2012)
- CFHT DIMM (2009?+)
- CFHT OTP (2009-2016)
- PTP (LunarShabar (2010)
- MKAM (2009+) (MASS & DIMM)
- TMT I 3N (2008-2009) (MASS, DIMM, SODAR)
- Gemini GL (2007-2008) (SLODAR & LOLAS)
- SCIDAR/G-SCIDAR (1988/89, 2000s)

QUANTIFYING THE OPTICAL TURBULENCE ABOVE MAUNAKEA

Dome? GL? FA?











I. Free-atm is generally weak on Maunakea



Gemini MKGL Study (Chun et al. 2009) 12-night campaign G-SCIDAR (2002/2005) TMT Site Testing (Schoeck et al 2009) Summit Seeing Monitor 2009+

The median "freeatmosphere seeing" is 0.33-0.4 arcseconds

2. GROUND-LAYER IS HIGHLY CONFINED AND THERE'S LITTLE ELSE IN FIRST KILOMETER



The median "ground-layer seeing" is 0.45 arcseconds

Gemini ground-layer study (Chun et al 2009) PTP Instrument (Pfromer 2010)

GL at I3N is thicker than at summit





GL at I3N is thicker than at summit





PERFORMANCE PREDICTIONS

- The delivered image quality depends on the conditions at the time, the level of correction, and the configuration of the AO system
- FA is main limit residual and tomographic error
- GL thickness and/or conjugation altitude

Simula
GLAC
correct
0 0.2 0.4
V-band F



PERFORMANCE PREDICTIONS

- The delivered image quality depends on the conditions at the time, the level of correction, and the configuration of the AO system
- FA is main limit residual and tomographic error
- GL thickness and/or conjugation altitude

more in Brent's talk

Cumulative Probability 0.0 0.0 **GLAO** corrected 0.2 0 D. Andersen (2010) L. Jollisaint (PAOLA)





VLT: MUSE+GALACSI





https://www.eso.org/public/news/eso1724/

NGC2419 LUCI2 (3.6'x3.6') K-band 0.22'' 3-4x improvement

LBT

SLA-SK



NGC2419 LUCI2 (3.6'x3.6') K-band 0.22'' 3-4x improvement

LBT

SLA-SK





0 0.20.30.4 0.50.6 0.70.8 0.9 1.1 1 Seeing [arcsec]

H-band 3' field of view EE in 140mas box

Ono et al. 2016 (RAVEN)





H-band 3' field of view EE in 140mas box

Ono et al. 2016 (RAVEN)

MAUNAKEA-SUBARU/RAVEN



H-band 3' field of view EE in 140mas box

ULTIMATE-Subaru (more in Minowa-san's talk)

Ono et al. 2016 (RAVEN)



SCAO in Dec 2016 GLAO in Jan, Feb, May 2017















PSFVARIATIONS

PSF Shape

- Well fit with a 2-d Moffat profile
- Moffat parameters vary in time (true of our seeing limited images too)
- Variations across the field look to be small but may have slow changes across the field.
 - RMS variation < 0.05" across 4' FOV
- Variation with wavelength has not been explored in detail yet. Simulations suggest we do not have enough actuators for bluer wavelengths but we'll explore into the NIR

PSFVARIATIONS

- Variation in time
 - Looks to be times when the GLAO PSF (or maybe FA) is more stable in time that seeing-limited images

GLAO UNCERTAINTIES

CONDITIONS AT THE SITE (TRUE FOR I 3N AND ORM)

 MASS seeing? What is the absolute FA seeing value? (MK and ORM). Long-term statistics

- the ground how big an effect?
- Shape of the GLAO PSF?
 - seeing, and instrument

• What, in detail, will the local/dome seeing be?

• How thick is the GL? (ORM)

GLAO IMPLEMENTATION

Adaptive secondary conjugate to below

• Order of correction, seeing, dome

SUMMARY

- GLAO is working over very wide fields of view and shows promising results on Maunakea
- Working to tie realized gains for astronomy to other measurements and performance predictions.
- Caution indications that the some of the assumptions are wrong or incomplete.

results on Maunakea and performance predictions.

SUMMARY

- GLAO is working over very wide fields of view and shows promising results on Maunakea
- Working to tie realized gains for astronomy to other measurements and performance predictions.
- Caution indications that the some of the assumptions are wrong or incomplete.

results on Maunakea and performance predictions.