The Properties of Dense Groups in filaments with WFIRST + Searching for rare shock signatures at z = 1.5-1.9

> Phil Appleton NASA Herschel Science Center IPAC/Caltech

> > Models from Narayanan+15

Galaxy evolution is a messy process involving many forms of feedback

Mergers and global instability in massive halos lead to short term variability + stellar winds outflows compete with infalling gas from filaments (Narayanan+15)



Feedback via shocks
and turbulence
will be common.
Groups evolve in
the growing DM halos

Strong Hints of feedback persist today in compact groups even at z = 0





HCG16 HI and Extended X-rays (Ewan O'Sullivan+14,15) Large mass of X-ray gas in IGM and evidence of X-ray outflows from SB winds (Find 6 x $10^9 M_{\odot}$ in IGM)

Stephan's Quintet shows GROUP-WIDE SHOCK is seen optical emission lines but most of luminosity comes out in warm H2 lines in mid-IR (Appleton+06,Cluver+10,Appleton+13)



Appleton+06, Guillard+09, Cluver+10, Appleton+13

At the previous WFIRST meeting two years ago I suggested:

- The WFIRST GRISM has a "sweet spot" providing the potential for emission-line excitation studies between 1.8 (1.2)* < z < 1.95 because H-Beta/[OIII] and H-alpha/[NII] or [OI]6300 fall simultaneously on GRISM. Even this narrow range when multiplied up over the large surveys would involve HUGE volume of universe. (CAVEAT—R = 461*λ/1µm does not decrease)
- I discussed how "Rare" galaxies, such as shock-dominated galaxies (< 0.5% of local SDSS galaxies) would be potentially discoverable in large numbers if they exist at higher-z
- I presented evidence that shock-dominated group galaxies may be symptomatic of star formation quenching in compact groups (See Alatalo & Appleton+15). The mechanism: either powerful outflows from SF or AGN winds, or multiple collisions in these dense environments.

WFIRST GRISM Sweet-spot Lowish-R but huge surveys possible



Galaxies with known shocked gas have low [OIII]/Hbeta and high [OI]/Halpha and are quite RARE



Optical Shock Signature: High [OI]6300/H α ratio Very broad lines often asymmetric



At low redshift shock-dominated galaxies seem very rare: They represent on 0.5% of galaxies derived from a large sample of well studied SDSS galaxies for z < 0.1



Detection at higher z assuming 3 x deeper than HLS



Would need modestly scaled-up versions of local systems to reach z = 2

Why might we care about galaxies with shock-dominated ISM/IGMs?

- They allow study of turbulent and shock processes in galaxies and environs
- Shocks may INHIBIT (at low z) SF in some cases tracking quenching, but at high z this trend may reverse? Where? How?
- They may represent an important but shortlived phase in galaxy evolution (hence rarity)

With WFIRST we can

 Archival: Use High Latitude (large area) Survey (HLS) with imaging and GRISM in combination with deep all-sky radio (SKA) surveys to identify diversity of filaments and embedded groups between z = 1 and 2. Cherry pick filaments and groups at different z's. Brightes galaxies only.

2) GI: Perform DEEP IMAGING of selected large fields to push weak lensing to z = 1.5 (May use statistical methods to get average properties) (If lucky may be able to use leverage from large SN fields). MAP GRAVITATIONAL POTENTIALS

3) GI: Perform DEEP GRISM spectroscopy of same large fields to explore SFRs (from 0.5 < z < 1.9) and excitation properties and search for rare galaxies over Largest redshift range possible. (potentially 1.25 < z < 1.9 if GRISM is extended down to λ =1.1µm) Use stacking techniques to push to deeper levels.

COSMOS: Mapping the Dark Matter



Weak-lensing part is similar to that proposed at low-z for DECaLS (using SDSS spectra) and the work of Massey/Rhodes+07-general COSMOS result Alexie Leauthaud+10... for COSMOS group structures

Under the right circumstances we can get an interesting confluence of techniques!

Use confluence of Weak Lensing and GRISM excitation to attempt to explore emission line properties of compact groups

This is the formation epoch of massive halos ranging from $10^{12} - 10^{13}$ which eventually become groups.





Simulation show that higher mass groups appear first as group halos grow Do we expect shocked systems at high-z?

Extreme example is the Spiderweb galaxy at z = 2.15



IMPORTANT CAVEATS

- Feasibility depends on details of GRISM (sensitivity and R) that require simulation
 - STRONG ADVOCATE FOR R~600 and BW 1-1.9 μm
 - Potential issues for faint extended sources
- Are there systematics that could reduce the impact?
 - DETAILS ARE IMPORTANT—Simulations will help clarify feasibility
- SIZE (Areas) of Deep component will depend on diversity of structures—Admit that this is vague yet—will be firmed up with simulations of instrument plus cosmological simulations including gas—formulation teams and early science

Summary

- Emission-line diagnostics may be doable with current GRISM R-specs* over z=1.8-1.9 (covering H β to H α) with the current GRISM bandwidth
- Extending bandwidth down to $\lambda = 1.1 \mu m$ may ALLOW CONFLUENCE of weak lensing and spectral excitation for DEEP SPECTRAL AND IMAGING SURVEYS of FILAMENTS and Embedded groups in formation.
- Allow for discovery of potentially important rare galaxies or groups containing shock/turbulence dominated ISM/IGMs
- Modestly scaled-up versions of local shocked systems may be detectable. If we go 3x deeper than baseline GRISM large surveys
- WFIRST will be the era of pre-cursor SKA (e. g. EMU/ASKAP) and early SKA1 and deep JVLA surveys (microJy level all-sky surveys) which will complement spectroscopy for obtaining important information on SFR and other properties to correlate with group evolution over cosmic time

Exploit deep large-area radio surveys and large number of spectroscopic z's from Euclid and WFIRST GRISMs



2016 Mid-Shared risk ASKAP early science 12 ants 2016-2018 Full EMU/WALLABY survey starts (30-36) SKA1 2022+ 96 antennas



EMU (Evolutionary Map of the Universe) Square Kilometer Array

precursor



Extras